

Perhaps that is why, during her nomination hearing, she refused to recuse herself from cases involving issues she worked on during the Trump administration.

So here is my bottom line. The Senate has seen this before—Trump nominees with extreme, offensive, and what are essentially incendiary writings from the past. In Ms. Rao's case, there are current examples of how she has not left those views in the past.

When it was Ryan Bounds nominated to the Ninth Circuit, this body—the U.S. Senate—stood up and said no. Mr.

Bounds' views were extreme. More importantly, he knew it, and he hid them.

In my view, it is time to take a stand once more in the Senate, where Ms. Rao's views are on display for all to see. I am going to be a no on the nomination of Neomi Rao. I urge my colleagues to join me.

I yield the floor.

ADJOURNMENT UNTIL 9:30 A.M.
TOMORROW

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate stands adjourned until 9:30 a.m. tomorrow.

Thereupon, the Senate, at 7:07 p.m., adjourned until Wednesday, March 13, 2019, at 9:30 a.m.

CONFIRMATION

Executive nomination confirmed by the Senate March 12, 2019:

THE JUDICIARY

PAUL B. MATEY, OF NEW JERSEY, TO BE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT.